Serial No.: 10/743,233 - 5 - Art Unit: 3636

Conf. No.: 6981

REMARKS

By the foregoing, applicant has cancelled claims 1-4 and 8 and has overcome the indefinite rejection under section 35 U.S.C. §112 of claims 5-7 and 9. The latter group of claims were indicated as containing allowable subject matter and would be allowed if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejections under 35 U.S.C. §112. The amendments to claims 5-7 and 9 are described briefly below.

Rejection to Claims 5-7 Under 35 U.S.C. §112

Claim 5 has been amended so as to include virtually every limitation and in the same language as presented in its parent claims 1 and 4. In addition, applicant has avoided the grammatical error in line 4 of claim 4 by inserting the article "the" before seat. As amended, claim 5 avoids all indefiniteness and contains all of the limitations of its parent claims.

Indefinite Rejection of Claim 9 Under 35 U.S.C. §112

Applicant has cancelled the expression "that rests on the floor" that had no antecedent basis in the form originally presented. The claim rewritten in independent form contains every limitation and in the same language as employed in original claim 8 and has now been incorporated into claim 9 so that claim 9 is, in itself, independent. This claim is therefore deemed allowable.

Conf. No.: 6981

CONCLUSION

In light of the foregoing, the application is deemed to be allowable and such action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

David Casey, Applicant(s)

By:

George L. Greenfield, Reg. No. 17,756

Wolf, Greenfield & Sacks, P.C.

600 Atlantic Avenue

Boston, Massachusetts 02210-2211

Telephone: (617) 646-8000

Docket No. C0432.70085US00

Date: July 27, 2004

x08/18/04